

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.710.345>

Studies on the Effect of Paclobutrazol as Foliar Spray on Growth Parameters of Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) var. Prajwal

Vinod Kumar Nellipalli* and Arun Kumar Pal

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, W.B. – 741252, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out in Horticulture Research Farm, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Mohanpur, to study the effect of paclobutrazol as foliar spray at different dates, on growth, flowering and bulb yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) var. Prajwal. The experiment was carried out during the year 2016-2018 (March - March) to find out the suitable dose of paclobutrazol and suitable time of its spraying to get maximum flower production. The experiment was laid out in augmented factorial randomized block design with three replications. The first factor contains three different doses of Paclobutrazol application P₁ (100 ppm), P₂ (200 ppm), P₃ (300 ppm) as foliar spray and the second factor is with three different times of Paclobutrazol application i.e., S₁ (45 DAP), S₂ (65 DAP), S₃ (85 DAP). A control plot is made without any application of paclobutrazol to observe the difference in the treatment effect. The results reveal that, the plant height, number of leaves per clump, leaf area and leaf area index were found maximum in the control treatment and those parameters were found at par with P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm). Regarding the spraying time of the paclobutrazol plant height, number of leaves, leaf area and leaf area index was found maximum with S₃ (85 DAP). The number of days taken for first spike emergence was early in P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) and in S₁ (45 DAP). Interaction effect of paclobutrazol and its spraying times was found non-significant for almost all the parameters except for plant height and leaf area.

Keywords

Tuberose, Paclobutrazol, Spraying time, Growth and Days after planting

Article Info

Accepted:

20 September 2018

Available Online:

10 October 2018

Introduction

Tuberose (*Polyanthes tuberosa* L.) is one of the most important tropical ornamental plants and cultivated for production of long lasting flowers spikes. Single varieties are more fragrant than double and contained 0.08 to 0.14 percent concrete which is used in highly grade perfumes. There is high demand of tuberose concrete and absolute in international market which fetches a very good price.

Flowers of the single type (single row perianth) are commonly used for extraction of essential oil, loose flowers, making garland etc., while double varieties (more than two rows of perianth) are used as cut flowers, garden display and interior decoration.

In India West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra and Karnataka are major tuberose growing states, out of them West Bengal has maximum area and production.

Paclobutrazol the so called growth retardant is generally used widely in the orchard plants like mango which regulates its alternate bearing habit but it is used very rarely in the flower crops. Paclobutrazol indirectly helps in increasing the flower quality and yield in the flower crops by regulating the gibberellin activity (Khan and Pal, 2009). There is very mere information regarding paclobutrazol effect in the floriculture sector hence we conducted this experiment to asses effect of paclobutrazol in different spraying times.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out during the year 2016-2018, at the Horticulture Research Farm, Mondouri, at the Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Mohanpur. The experiment was laid out in augmented factorial randomized block design with three replications. First factor comprises of three different doses of paclobutrazol application P₁ (100 ppm), P₂ (200 ppm), P₃ (300 ppm) as foliar spray and the second factor is with three different times of Paclobutrazol application i.e., S₁ (45 DAP), S₂ (65 DAP), S₃ (85 DAP). Along with these treatments one control plot is made. During the field preparation, well rotten farm yard manure @ 1.5 kg/m² was applied as basal dose 15 days before planting and mixed properly with soil. N: P: K @ 150: 200: 200 kg/ha was applied, in which, half N, full P and K applied as basal, remaining N applied as split doses, 30 and 45 days after planting. The size of the plot was 1.5 m x 1.0 m with a spacing of 30 cm x 30 cm.

Bulbs of tuberose cv. Prajwal were provided by Horticulture Research Farm, Mandouri, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vishwavidyalaya. Before planting the bulbs were stored in well ventilated semi shady place for two months. Older leaves emerging from the neck of the bulbs were trimmed off. Before planting, the bulbs were treated with fungicide copper

oxychloride (0.1%) and the individual bulbs weighing 15-30 g with 1.5- 2.5 cm in diameter were selected for planting.

In this article the data was furnished for the parameters like plant height, number of leaves per clump, number of days taken for first spike emergence, leaf area and leaf area index. Five plants were selected randomly from each plot for recording data on various quality attributes. The data on flowering were recorded during the course of investigation and subjected to statistical analysis as per Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The appropriate standard error of mean S.E. (m) and the critical difference (C.D.) were calculated at 5% level of probability.

Results and Discussion

Plant height

Paclobutrazol

The data presented in Table 1 shows that the data in respect of plant height was significantly influenced by various paclobutrazol levels. Irrespective of the paclobutrazol doses the height of the plant decreases with increasing of the doses in both the years. During the year 2016-17, significantly superior plant height (55.89 cm) was recorded in P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) while the minimum height (48.35 cm) was shown in P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm). In the ratoon crop i.e., in the year 2017-18, the same pattern of plant height had been observed. Superior plant height (58.00 cm) was recorded in P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) while the minimum height (51.00 cm) was shown in P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm). In the pooled data, the plant height (57.89 cm) was found to be maximum in P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) while the minimum plant height (54.45 cm) was observed in the P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm).

Spraying time

The data shown in the Table 1 reveals that the plant height increased significantly with the delay in the spraying of paclobutrazol. In the year 2016-17, S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) had given maximum height (54.00 cm) and the minimum height (49.99 cm) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

In the year 2017-18, S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP) had given maximum height (55.80 cm) and the minimum height (52.60 cm) was observed in S₂ (Spraying 65 DAP).

The data in the Table 1 depicts that in the pooled data, the plant height (57.77 cm) was found maximum in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and the minimum height (54.94 cm) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

Paclobutrazol X Spraying time

The interaction effect of paclobutrazol and spraying time was found non-significant in ratoon crop and significant in main crop and pooled data. However, combining the data of both the years shown that the maximum plant height (60.86 cm) was observed in the control treatment, it was at par with P₁S₃ (58.70 cm), while the minimum plant height (48.91 cm) was found in P₃ S₁ (300 ppm PBZ and 45 DAP).

The decrease in the height and number of leaves may be because of due to anti-auxin activity, disturbed carbohydrate metabolism and inhibition of cell division and elongation of apical meristem might contribute to growth reduction in plants treated with paclobutrazol.

The early application of paclobutrazol has shortened the plants effectively. These results are in conformity with Padaganur *et al.*, (2005) in Tuberose and Nishith *et al.*, (2015) in Gaillardia.

Number of leaves per clump

The data in respect of number of leaves per clump as influenced by paclobutrazol and spraying time were presented in Table 1.

Paclobutrazol

There is a significant decrease in the number of leaves per clump in both the years when treated with different doses of paclobutrazol. In the main crop during the year 2016-17, the maximum number of leaves (45.71) was observed in P₁ (100 ppm Paclobutrazol) while the minimum number of leaves per clump (41.45) was shown in P₂ (200 ppm Paclobutrazol).

In the ratoon crop i.e., in the year 2017-18, the number of leaves per clump decreased with the increase in the doses of paclobutrazol. The maximum number of leaves (58.75) was observed in P₁ (100 ppm Paclobutrazol) while the minimum number of leaves per clump (55.86) was shown in P₃ (300 ppm Paclobutrazol)

Regarding the pooled data the number of leaves per clump (52.23) was found to be maximum in P₁ (100 ppm Paclobutrazol) while the minimum number of leaves per clump was shown in P₂ (200 ppm Paclobutrazol) (49.55).

Spraying time

The data shown in the Table 1 reveals that the number of leaves per clump increased significantly with the delay in the spraying of paclobutrazol.

In the year 2016-17, S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) had given maximum number of leaves per clump (44.60) and the minimum number of leaves per clump (42.61) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP). In the year 2017-18, S₃

(Spraying 85 DAP) had given maximum number of leaves per clump (58.89) and the minimum number of leaves per clump (55.83) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

The data in the Table 1 depicts that in the pooled data, the number of leaves per clump (51.75) was found maximum in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and the minimum number of leaves per clump (49.22) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

Paclobutrazol X Spraying time

The interaction effect of different levels of paclobutrazol and zinc was found significant in the main crop but it was non-significant in the ratoon crop and pooled data.

The pooled data in Table 1 shows that the maximum number of leaves per clump (55.86) was shown in the control treatment, while the minimum number of leaves per clump (47.86) was observed in P₃ S₁ (300 ppm PBZ and Spraying 45 DAP).

Days to 1st spike emergence after planting

The data for this number of days to taken for first spike emergence after planting was taken only once for the main crop in the year 2016-17.

Paclobutrazol

The data presented in Table 1 reveal that the effect of paclobutrazol on days to first spike emergence was statistically significant. It is evident from the data during the year 2016-17, the earliest first spike emergence (191.00 days) was recorded with P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) followed by P₂ (202.78 days).

The delayed first spike emergence (206.67 days) was recorded with P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm).

Spraying time

Different spraying times significantly influenced the number of days taken for first spike emergence after planting of the bulbs. The spraying time S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP) had given the earliest first spike emergence (195.66 days) while spraying time S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) had taken the maximum number of days to first spike emergence after planting (203.55 days).

Paclobutrazol X Spraying time

The interaction effect due to various levels of paclobutrazol and spraying times on the days to first spike emergence was found non-significant.

Number of days taken for spike emergence after planting and number of days taken for first floret opening was minimum in the plants treated with lower dose of paclobutrazol P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) this might be due to the fact that the reserve food material can be utilized for reproductive purpose with restriction on vegetative growth due to gibberellins action of cycocel which a growth retardant is (Joshi and Reddy, 2006 in China aster).

Similar results were found by Dani *et al.*, (2010) in marigold.

Leaf area (cm²)

Paclobutrazol

Leaf area was significantly influenced by the effect of paclobutrazol levels. In the main crop, the maximum leaf area (49.89cm²) was observed in P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) while the minimum leaf area (46.17cm²) was shown in P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm). In the ratoon crop, the leaf area decreased with the increase in the doses of paclobutrazol.

Table.1 Effect of paclobutrazol and spraying time on growth parameters of tuberose cv. Prajwal

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves per clump			Number of Days taken for spike emergence (days)	Leaf Area (cm ²)			Leaf area index		
	Main crop 2016-17	Ratoon Crop 2017-18	Pooled	Main crop 2016-17	Ratoon Crop 2017-18	Pooled		Main crop 2016-17	Main crop 2016-17	Ratoon Crop 2017-18	Pooled	Main crop 2016-17	Ratoon Crop 2017-18
Paclobutrazol (P)													
P₁ (100 ppm)	55.89	58.00	57.89	45.71	58.75	52.23	191.00	49.89	53.08	51.49	2.53	3.47	3.00
P₂ (200 ppm)	51.05	54.47	56.36	41.45	57.64	49.55	202.78	47.76	51.86	49.81	2.20	3.32	2.76
P₃ (300 ppm)	48.35	51.00	54.45	43.90	55.86	49.88	206.67	46.17	50.55	48.36	2.25	3.14	2.70
S.Em(±)	0.604	0.625	0.456	0.594	0.725	1.100	2.283	0.486	0.679	0.394	0.027	0.039	0.061
C.D at 5%	1.793	1.858	1.308	1.765	2.153	6.691	6.784	1.444	1.840	1.129	0.082	0.114	0.394
Spraying time (S)													
S₁ (45 DAP)	49.99	55.80	54.94	42.61	55.83	49.22	195.66	46.89	50.38	48.64	2.22	3.13	2.68
S₂ (65 DAP)	51.29	52.60	55.92	43.84	57.52	50.68	201.22	48.16	50.80	49.48	2.35	3.25	2.80
S₃ (85 DAP)	54.00	55.06	57.77	44.60	58.89	51.75	203.55	48.76	54.29	51.52	2.42	3.55	2.98
S.Em(±)	0.604	0.625	0.456	0.594	0.725	0.469	2.283	0.486	0.679	0.394	0.027	0.039	0.061
C.D at 5%	1.793	1.858	1.308	N. S	2.153	1.344	6.784	1.444	1.840	1.129	0.082	0.114	0.394
Control	61.53	60.20	60.86	50.21	61.51	55.86	213.67	51.73	55.89	53.80	2.89	3.82	3.35

Table.2 Interaction effect of Paclobutrazol and Spraying time on plant height and leaf area of tuberose cv. Prajwal

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Leaf area (cm ²)		
	Main crop 2016-17	Ratoon Crop 2017-18	Pooled	Main crop 2016-17	Ratoon Crop 2017-18	Pooled
Paclobutrazol x Spraying time						
P ₁ S ₁	54.42	60.00	57.21	49.55	51.65	50.60
P ₁ S ₂	55.63	54.20	54.91	50.36	53.08	51.72
P ₁ S ₃	57.61	59.80	58.70	49.77	54.50	52.13
P ₂ S ₁	49.13	56.00	52.56	46.15	51.65	48.90
P ₂ S ₂	50.14	53.40	51.77	47.91	50.13	49.02
P ₂ S ₃	53.88	54.00	53.94	49.21	53.80	51.50
P ₃ S ₁	46.43	51.40	48.91	44.98	47.87	46.42
P ₃ S ₂	48.12	50.20	49.16	46.22	49.21	47.71
P ₃ S ₃	50.51	51.40	50.95	47.31	54.59	50.95
S.Em(±)	1.045	1.083	0.790	0.842	1.072	0.682
C.D at 5%	3.116	N. S	2.401	N. S	N. S	2.052

The treatment P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) had given maximum leaf area (53.08cm²) while the minimum leaf area (50.55cm²) was observed in the plot treated with higher dosage of P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm).

The pooled data pertaining to the leaf area is shown in Table 1. The table clearly shows that significantly maximum leaf area (51.49cm²) was observed in P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) and it was followed by P₂ (49.81cm²), while the minimum leaf area (48.36cm²) was shown in P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm).

Spraying time

Regarding the spraying times to the tuberose plants, during the year 2016-17, the leaf area was found significant. The maximum leaf area (48.764 cm²) was found in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and the minimum (46.89 cm²) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP). While in the ratoon crop i.e., (2017-18), significantly maximum leaf area (54.29 cm²) was observed in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and the minimum

leaf area (50.38 cm²) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

The effect of different spraying times on the leaf area of tuberose was found significant in the pooled data. The maximum leaf area (51.52 cm²) was found in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and the minimum leaf area (48.64 cm²) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

Paclobutrazol X Spraying time

The data in the Table 2 reveals that the interaction effect of paclobutrazol and spraying time on leaf area was found significant in the pooled data. In the pooled data, the maximum leaf area (53.80 cm²) was observed in the control treatment and the minimum leaf area (46.42 cm²) was observed in the treatment combination P₃S₁ (300 ppm PBZ and 45 DAP).

Gibberellin activities, stimulating meristematic cell division and growth, were prevented by paclobutrazol that the soil had absorbed. This caused reducing speed of cell

division and extension so that the growth of plant height was retarded (Nasrullah *et al.*, 2012). These results are in conformity with Padaganur *et al.*, (2005) in Tuberose and Nishith *et al.*, (2015) in Gaillardia.

Leaf area Index

Paclobutrazol

From the Table 1 it is apparent that different paclobutrazol levels significantly influenced the leaf area index of tuberose. During the year 2016-17, the maximum leaf area index (2.53) was observed in the paclobutrazol level P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) whereas P₂ (Paclobutrazol 200 ppm) had given minimum leaf area index (2.20). In the year 2017-18, the maximum leaf area index (3.47) was observed in the paclobutrazol level P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm) whereas P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm) had given minimum leaf area index (3.14).

In the pooled data the maximum leaf area index (3.00) was observed in the paclobutrazol level P₁ (Paclobutrazol 100 ppm), whereas P₃ (Paclobutrazol 300 ppm) had given minimum leaf area index (2.70).

Spraying time

The spraying times of paclobutrazol had shown significant effect on leaf area index of tuberose plants. In the main crop the maximum leaf area index (2.42) was observed in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and it was minimum (2.22) in the S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP). While in the ratoon crop i.e., (2017-18), the maximum leaf area index (3.55) was observed in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and the minimum leaf area index (3.13) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

The effect of different spraying times on the leaf area index of tuberose were found

significant in the pooled data. The maximum leaf area index (2.98) was found in S₃ (Spraying 85 DAP) and the minimum leaf area index (2.68) was observed in S₁ (Spraying 45 DAP).

Paclobutrazol X Spraying time

The interaction effect of paclobutrazol and spraying time on leaf area index was found non-significant.

Paclobutrazol reduced the leaf area of tuberose plants because of the reason that gibberellin activities, stimulating meristematic cell division and growth, were prevented by paclobutrazol that the soil had absorbed. This caused reducing speed of cell division and extension so that the leaf area was retarded (Nasrullah *et al.*, 2012). These findings are in conformity with Dani *et al.*, (2010) in marigold and Saiyad *et al.*, (2010) in Gaillardia.

References

- Dani, K. N., Patil, S. J., Patel, R. G. and Patel, N. A. 2010. Effect of growth retardants on flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L) cv. Double orange under south Gujarat conditions. *The Asian J. of Hort.* 5(2): 287-290.
- Joshi, V. and Reddy, S. A. (2006). Effect of cycocel and alar on growth and flowering parameters in China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees). *J. Ornam. Hort.*, 9(1): 71-72.
- Khan, A. and Pal, P. (2009). Effect of paclobutrazol and time of spraying on growth, flowering and bulb production of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Double. *J. Interacademia*. 13(2): 133-137.
- Nasrullah, N., Wati, Y. M., and Utami, D. W. (2012). Induction of Bougainville flower (*Bougainvillea spectabilis* willd)

- with retardant and medium composition at polluted environment. *Jurnal Lanskap Indonesia*. 4: 59-65.
- Nishith, V., Ramesh, V., Nitesh, P. and Dilip, K. (2015). Response of Gaillardia to Plant Growth Regulators and Pinching. *Trends in Biosc.* 8(16): 4090-4095.
- Padaganur, V. G., Mokashi, A. N. and Patil, V. S. (2005). Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of tuberose cv. Single. *Karnataka J. Agril. Sci.* 18(2): 469-473.
- Panase, S. K. and P. V. Sukhatme, 1967. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 3rd edition: pp 341.
- Saiyad, M. Y., Jadhav, R. G., Parmar, A. B. and Chauhan, K. M. (2010). Effect of growth retardants and pinching on growth, flowering and yield of Gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* Foug) Cv. Lorenziana. *The Asian J of Hort.* 5(1): 121-122.

How to cite this article:

Vinod Kumar Nellipalli and Arun Kumar Pal. 2018. Studies on the Effect of Paclobutrazol as Foliar Spray on Growth Parameters of Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) var. Prajwal. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(10): 2976-2983. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.710.345>